

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,861		09/17/2003	Hisashi Tsukamoto	Q137-US2 8461	
31815	7590	11/13/2006		EXAMINER	
MARY EI	LIZABET	TH BUSH	YUAN, DAH WEI D		
QUALLIO P.O. BOX				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SYLMAR,		92-3127		1745	
				DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,861	TSUKAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 66-71 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 66,69-71 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 67 and 68 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. ne: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or bolonic Seedon is required if the drawing(s) is objected or is required if the drawing(s) is objected or is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the dra	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office ac	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09172003,05102004,07092004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

ELECTRIC STORAGE BATTERY CONSTRUCTION AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

Examiner: Yuan S.N. 10/666,861 Art Unit: 1745 November 9, 2006

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I-1, claims 1-9, in Paper filed October 31, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 10-65 were canceled. Claims 66-71 were added.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 67,68 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 67,68 are dependent on claim 20, which has been canceled.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The

Art Unit: 1745

filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-9,66,69-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9 of prior U.S. Patent No. US 6,670,071 B2. This is a double patenting rejection. In the instant case, the mandrel is fitted around the elongate pin, therefore, the mandrel has to have a cavity within to accommodate the pin, i.e., the mandrel is hollow. In addition, any surface of the first electrode strip that is in contact with the pin can be defined as the "inner end" as recited in the '071 patent.

With respect to claim 66, it is noted that the claim is a product-by-process claim. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since Skinlo's actual storage battery is similar to that of the Applicant's, Applicant's process is not given patentable weight in this claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,861

Art Unit: 1745

Page 3 of 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan November 9, 2006

> DAH-WEIÝÚAN PRIMARY EXAMINER